AN AUDIT OF LIKELY LENS DOSES TO PATIENTS **RECEIVING REPEAT** EXPOSURES THROUGH THE **ORBITS – INFORMING THE** PRACTITIONER

Kate Sprake, Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust

Background

□ ICRP Revision of Tissue Reaction Threshold

Report 118	ICRP103	Lens Opacities	Visual Impairment
Report 103	Acute	0.5-2 Gy	5 Gy
	Chronic	2-10 Gy	8 Gy

- □ Neurosurgical Centre
- Reduced Occupational Eye Dose Limit
- COMARE16

Principal Pathology

Len opacification i.e. cataracts

- Cortical
- Nuclear
- Posterior Subcapsular (PSC)



Societal burden of cataract surgery (300,000/y in the UK)

(1 in 1000 loss of sight due to surgery – NHS Feb 2016)

Considerable uncertainty between dose and radiation-induced cataracts

CT Head Scans

- □ Acute head trauma;
- Acute intracranial hemorrhage;
- Shunt malfunctions, or shunt revisions;
- Increased intracranial pressure;
- □ Headache;
- □ Acute neurologic deficits;
- □ Hydrocephalus;

- □ Brain herniation;
- Drug toxicity;
- □ Mass or tumor;
- □ Seizures;
- □ Syncope;
- □ Detection of calcification;
- When magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) imaging is unavailable or contraindicated



- Identify cases requiring CT Head scan follow-up studies
- □ Assess Patient Eye Doses
- □ Compare practice to other centres
- □ Identify opportunities for optimisation

Plymouth Hospital NHS Trust

- □ CT Head LDRL 940 mGy.cm (NDRL 970 mGy.cm)
- \square >10 CT Head scans in a 6 month period (2006 -2016)
- \Box Assuming eye lens dose as $\frac{2}{3}$ the CTDI_{vol}[3]
- □ Highest fractionated delivery was1 Gy in 2 months



Angle Modulation

Typical Scan Planes

- Orbito Meatal Baseline (OMBL)
- Supra Orbital

 \Box 50 – 80% dose reduction depending upon the angle [3] [6]





Figure Reference [3]

Clinical Concerns

- Radiographers raised concerns regarding angulation and image quality
- □ Surgeons utilising the images for procedure planning unable to apply angulated images



Angulation - Is there a consensus?

80% 70% 60% \$50%\$20\$40%\$30% 20% 10% 0% OMBL Supra orbital OMBL Supra orbital

Neurosurgical centre

CT Head Scan Protocols

Non-neurosurgical

Justification

- Non-neuro centres adopting that of their neuro counterparts
- Organ Dose Modulation used though uncertain of the effects
- MRI use the same scan angle
- Use of OMBL due to surgery planning requirements

Literature Review

- □ Stochastic vs. Deterministic
- □ Method of cataract assessment
- □ Scan Plane Alteration
- □ Eye Shields
- □ Cataract Latency Period
- Data Availability



Latency Period

- □ Latency is inversely related to dose
- □ High level of Uncertainty
- □ Atomic bomb survivors: 1 Gy latency of 2-3 years [7]
- □ May reach 30-45 years for fractionated low doses [7]
- □ Age-modulation component
- □ 96% of >60 year olds have lens opacities in US [ICRP 103 US 1992]
- □ Various environmental impacts
 - having a family history of cataracts
 - having diabetes
 - having other eye conditions
 - eye surgery or an eye injury
 - smoking
 - regularly drinking excessive amounts of alcohol
 - a poor diet lacking in vitamins
 - lifelong exposure to sunlight

Optimisation

- Angular modulation ~80% reduction in eye dose using cadaveric heads [6]
- Z-axis modulation
- MDCT Variation
- Helical vs. Axial
- Tube current modulation
- Patient tilting
- Shielding
- Image Quality



Future Work

- □ Blind Study
- □ Scanner capability
- □ Patient positioning
- □ Patient follow-up studies
 - Visual acuity test
 - Slit-lamp examination



References

[1] International Commission on Radiological Protection. *The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection Publication*. ICRP Publication 103. Ann. ICRP **37**, (2-4) Elsevier (2007).

[2] International Commission on Radiological Protection. *The 2012 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection Publication*. ICRP Publication 118. Ann. ICRP **41**, (1-2) Elsevier (2012).

[3] Zhang, D. et al. *Peak Skin and Eye Lens Radiation Dose From Brain Perfusion CT Based on Monte Carlo Simulations*. AJR 198, pp. 412-417 (2012)

[4] Huggett, J., Mukonoweshuro, W., Loader, R. *A Phantom-Based Evaluation of Three Commercially Available Patient Organ Shields for Computed Tomography X-Ray Examinations in Diagnostic Radiology*. Radiation Protection Dosimetry **155**(2), pp. 161-168 (2013).

[5]Yeoman, L.J., Howarth, L., Britten, A., Cotterill, A., Adam, EJ. *Gantry Angulation in Brain CT: dosage implications, effect on posterior fossa artifacts, and current international practice.* Radiology **184**(1):113-6 (1992).

[6] Tan, J.S.P., et al. Comparison of Eye Lens Dose on Neuroimaging Protocols between 16- and 64- Section Multidetector CT: Achieving the Lowest Possible Dose. American Journal of Neuroradiology 30:373-77(2009).

[7] Chodick, G., et al. Risk of Cataract after Exposure to Low Doses of Ionizing Radiation: A 20-Year Prospective Cohort Study among US Radiologic Technologists. American Journal of Epidemiology. 168:620-631 (2008)

Acknowledgements

- Robert Loader MPE, PHNT
- William Mukonoweshuro Neurosurgical Consultant, PHNT
- Kwabena Frimpong-Ansah Opthamologist, PHNT